



Feedback for RRMP Literature Review Summative

Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram	Student number: 323464
Details of any extensions etc:	Submission Attempt: First submission Second submission

Criteria and weighting	Level	Comments
Knowledge and understanding of the topic/ issues under consideration (30%) To provide an overview of current knowledge on the chosen topic	Very Good	There is a very good attempt to engage with research to answer the summative question. There is clear evidence that research has been engaged with, in direct connection with the assignment title. For future summative also engage with more contemporary research to further develop your discussion and depth and breadth of research context.
Criticality (30%) To highlight similar and contrasting views on your chosen topic	Satisfactory	Although the assignment looks at limitations as well as some positives around the discussion, the content is descriptive and 'silos' discussion. You need to synthesise your critical discussion fully. And so this means avoiding long paragraphs regarding positives and then negatives or limitations of research for example. Instead discussion needs to be fully amalgamated and so looking at point to point discussion which then forms debate. A literature review is about the focus being on literature and examining dynamic discussion through a rich cross-section of diverse research to get that debate and discussion occurring all the way through the content. Please bear this on mind for future summative. A satisfactory outcome here.
Use of relevant sources (20%) To demonstrate an awareness of relevant, current literature	Satisfactory	The majority of references on your research list are from older sources, and so for future summative do put some time aside to research more current texts, after reading mandatory reading materials. Reading more current texts will allow more critical debate to occur, as you can compare older and newer texts to develop debate and discussion.



Structure and Presentation (as detailed in the assessment guidance) (10%) To showcase your research and writing skills.	Satisfactory	There was still some word count to develop your assignment, and whilst being under count isn't penalised it is a good idea to use as much word count as you can to develop your assignment. Paragraphs could have been better balanced. In addition, in academic assignments avoid siloing discussion in A], B] and C] sections, you need to synthesise your discussion fully in full paragraphs. Your list of references also needs to be on their own page. Don't use bullet points to 'list' content in an academic assignment. Again, you need to integrate your discussion fully, and 'listing' reflects a descriptive manner of writing. A satisfactory outcome here.
Academic integrity (10%) To showcase your research and writing skills.	Very Good	This outcome tips into very good, because for the most part the assignment does have a solid academic tone. Additionally regular citation mostly occurs, although for future you do need to ensure that citation is occurring in all parts of the assignment including the conclusion. Where there is a fact or research discussed it needs to be cited. This needs to be borne in mind for future summative to maintain the grade here.
Overall		
Positives: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Knowledge of the topic tips into very good • As does academic integrity • With all other outcomes being satisfactory 		
Points for development: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • You need to engage with more recent research • This in turn will support a more critical context to your summative • And make the content less descriptive 		